arXiv:1207.4613v1 [physics.gen-ph] 19 Jul 2012

Comment on "Trouble with the Lorentz Law of Force: Incompatibility with Special Relativity and Momentum Conservation"

In a recent Letter [1] Mansuripur considers a magnetic point dipole $m_0\,\hat{\mathbf{x}}'$ positioned in the rest frame at a fixed location $d\,\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$ from a point charge q. Performing a Lorentz transformation to a laboratory frame where the charge distribution moves at velocity $V\,\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ he finds that 'a net torque $\mathbf{T} = (Vqm_0/4\pi d^2)\,\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ acts on the dipole pair'. He then argues that 'this torque in the (lab) frame in the absence of a corresponding torque in the (rest) frame is sufficient proof of the inadequacy of the Lorentz (force) law'.

In this comment we demonstrate that the presence of a torque is *not* incompatible with special relativity and momentum conservation. In fact, the torque is *required* by the conservation laws that apply to the *total* momentum of the system (including the particles). We furthermore stress that classical electrodynamics needs a consistent dynamical description of the particles, a point not addressed in [1]. This description involves coupled equations for the electromagnetic fields and the trajectories [2] and any conserved quantity will then contain contributions from both the field and the particles.

The standard momentum conservation reads in $4D$ relativistic notations $\partial_\alpha(T^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm particle} + T^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm field}) = 0$, with $\partial_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm field} = -c^{-1}F^{\beta\lambda}j_\lambda$ [3]. The latter implies the $3D$ relation $\partial_t u + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{J}_{\rm total}$ with $u = \epsilon_0(\mathbf{E}^2 + c^2\mathbf{B}^2)/2$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mu_0^{-1}\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}$. Poynting's theorem yields the total field momentum $\mathcal{P}_{\rm field} = c^{-2}\int \mathbf{S}\,d^3x$ and angular momentum $\mathcal{L}_{\rm field} = c^{-2}\int(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_0)\times\mathbf{S}\,d^3x$.

It is straightforward to find the momenta in the rest frame by direct (but tedious) computation. A faster derivation is obtained by considering an auxiliary problem [4] involving an extra δ distributed contribution to $\mathbf{B}$ and 2 charge monopole [5] pairs that are known to each have a total angular moment [3] $qg/4\pi$ [9]. The momenta in the rest frame read

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm field} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{m_0 q}{d^2}\hat{\mathbf{y}}' \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm field} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{m_0 q}{d}\hat{\mathbf{x}}' + (d\,\hat{\mathbf{z}}' - \mathbf{r}'_0)\times\mathcal{P}_{\rm field} \qquad (2)$$

The standard momentum conservation law $\partial_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm particle} = c^{-1}F^{\beta\lambda}j_\lambda$ in a time-independant situation requires that $\mathcal{P}_{\rm particle} + \mathcal{P}_{\rm field} = 0$. This momentum $\mathcal{P}_{\rm particle} = -(m_0 q/d^2 4\pi)\hat{\mathbf{y}}'$ corresponds to the notion of 'missing momentum' [6, 7] and the associated position dependent contribution to $\mathcal{L}_{\rm total}$ cancels the last term of (2). In the lab frame the presence of the torque is required to account for the motion at uniform speed of the missing momentum $\mathcal{P}_{\rm particle}$.

A simple thought experiment shows the unavoidability of the missing momentum. Consider, instead of the magnetic point dipole, an electromagnet that is smoothly turned off at $t = 0$ with negligible radiative effects. Using $\nabla\times\mathbf{E} = -\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$ it is straightforward to compute (masses being large enough to neglect motions) that the charge picks up a momentum of magnitude $\mathcal{P}_{\rm field}$. The missing momentum $\mathcal{P}_{\rm particle}$ allows for the corresponding recoil of the electromagnet that is needed for linear and angular momentum conservation [6]. Note that this argument is independent of the nature (possibly containing magnetic material such as soft iron) of the electromagnet.

Let us finally stress that the fundamental incompleteness of Maxwell's equations and the Lorentz force law (when they are taken alone) is not addressed in [1]. What is lacking is a dynamical description of the charged particles response to the Lorentz force consistent with the fact that particles radiate when their accelerations vary. The standard answer to this classical problem is the Lorentz-Dirac equation [8]. To obtain this fully coupled description a cut-off-dependent (divergent but unobservable) renormalization of the mass of the particle has to be performed. The Lorentz-Dirac equation is known [2] to generally describe the interaction of a classical field with a particle, independently of the details of the interaction, provided that the coupled equations of motion are obtained from a Lagrangian.

E. T. acknowledges support from FONDECYT Project 1120329.

M. Brachet [1] and E. Tirapegui [2]

[1]Laboratoire de Physique Statistique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, associé au CNRS et aux Universités Paris VI et VII, 24 Rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

[2]Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas de la Universidad de Chile, Blanco Encalada 2008, Santiago, Chile.

PACS numbers: 41.20. -q

[1] M. Mansuripur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 193901 (2012).
[2] M. Brachet and E. Tirapegui, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. A **47**, 210 (1978).
[3] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, 1998), 3rd ed.
[4] D. J. Griffiths, Am. J. Phys. **60**, 979 (1992).
[5] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. A **133**, 60 (1931).
[6] W. Shockley and R. P. James, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 876 (1967).
[7] L. Vaidman, Am. J. Phys. **58**, 978 (1990).
[8] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. A **167**, 148 (1938).
[9] The Dirac quantization condition corresponds to this angular momentum being an integer multiple of $\hbar/2$.